

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/053,651	CHANG ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Dov Popovici	2625	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non Final

(1) Dov Popovici. (3) _____.

(2) Mark M. Meininger (Reg. No. 32,428). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 March 2009

Time: 12:48 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

24, 32, 35, 38, 41, 46, 51 and 59.

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Dov Popovici/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment was discussed and agreed to. Claims 24, 32, 35, 38, 41, 46, 51 and 59 are amended for clarity purpose and in order to better clarify the claims over 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph and/or claim objections (see the attached examiner's amendment for specific detail).